

**CODE ENFORCEMENT BOARD
CITY COMMISSION MEETING ROOM
100 NORTH ANDREWS AVENUE
FEBRUARY 26, 2013
9:00 A.M. – 1:08 P.M.**

<u>Board Members</u>	<u>Attendance</u>	Cumulative attendance 2/2012 through 1/2013	
		<u>Present</u>	<u>Absent</u>
Howard Nelson, Chair	P	10	1
Howard Elfman, Vice Chair	P	10	1
Paul Dooley	P	11	0
Genia Ellis	P	8	3
Joan Hinton	A	10	1
Jan Sheppard	P	11	0
Chad Thilborger	P	9	2
PJ Espinal [Alternate]	P	3	7
Joshua Miron [Alternate]	A	3	7
Robert Smith [Alternate]	P	7	3

Staff Present

Richard Guiffreda, Board Attorney
Ginger Wald, Assistant City Attorney
Yvette Ketor, Secretary, Code Enforcement Board
Erin Saey, Clerk III
Peggy Burks, Clerk III
Bridgette Patterson, Administrative Aide
Greg Hamilton, Building Inspector
Jorg Hruschka, Building Inspector
George Oliva, Building Inspector
Gerry Smilen, Building Inspector
Lisa Edmondson, Prototype Inc., Recording Secretary

Communication to the City Commission

None.

Respondents and Witnesses

CE12050501; CE12050514; CE12050556: Adelaida Albareda, attorney
CE10111974: Maria Vaca, owner
CE11060534: Ryan Emmer, owner
CE12022385: John Mehrhoff, owner
CE12061375: Shelby Smith III, property manager
CE12022138: Herman Elberg, contractor
CE11060921: Robert Goldman, owner's brother; Peter Goldman, owner

CE11031271: David Louderback, owner
CE12011080: Michael Rizzo, owner; Ely Benaim, partner
CE11092227: Javier Gomez, owner
CE12020574: Richard Gibson, property manager; Rosy Baron, property manager
CE12050056: Hildegard Gourdet, owner
CE11071956: Raymond Johnson, prospective buyer
CE12061016: Craig Kamine, business partner; Austin Brock, owner
CE12050811: Patrick Reeder, owner
CE12030951: Jose Lucero, owner's friend
CE11070323: Chris Mills, assistant owner
CE07101433: Amber Ashton, attorney
CE11020852: Geraldo Nunez, owner's son-in-law
CE12011854: Wimpy Cledanord, owner
CE12071477: Pablo Sanchez, owner's nephew; Jean Pierre DaSilva, engineer
CE07101438: Dustin Lorkins, lender's attorney
CE11070780: Cristobal Padron, attorney
CE12032198: Claire Clark, owner's representative
CE12052382: Antonio Recinos, bank representative
CE09040018: Eva Kearse, owner
CE11070747: Kenneth Carson, owner
CE12041151: Luz Mary Batista, manager
CE12020927: Creston A Ely, owner
CE06030553: George Zartolas, owner

Chair Nelson called the meeting to order at 9:01 a.m., introduced Board members and explained the procedures for the hearing.

Individuals wishing to speak on any of the cases on today's agenda were sworn in.

Case: CE11020852

1304 Northeast 1 Avenue
RODRIGUEZ, AURA

Service was via posting on the property on 2/14/13 and at City Hall on 2/15/13. This case was first heard on 2/28/12 to comply by 5/22/12. Violations and extensions were as noted in the agenda. The property was not complied and the City was requesting imposition of the fine, which would begin to accrue on 2/27/13 and would continue to accrue until the property complied.

Geraldo Nunez, the owner's son-in-law, stated he had been trying to comply the violations and requested an extension to continue working with the inspector.

Gerry Smilen, Building Inspector, reported the inspection had failed on 2/15 for a revision needed on the plans, incomplete stucco work and the need to remove a wall air conditioning unit.

Motion made by Ms. Sheppard, seconded by Mr. Thilborger, to grant a 28-day extension to 3/26/13, during which time no fines would accrue. In a roll call vote, motion passed 3-4 with Mr. Thilborger, Ms. Ellis and Chair Nelson opposed.

Case: CE11071956

913 Northeast 4 Avenue
HANSEN, JOHN III

Service was via posting on the property on 2/14/13 and at City Hall on 2/15/13. This case was first heard on 11/27/12 to comply by 2/26/13. Violations were as noted in the agenda. The property was not complied and the City was requesting imposition of the fine, which would begin to accrue on 2/27/13 and would continue to accrue until the property complied.

Gerry Smilen, Building Inspector, reported the plans had been resubmitted on 2/20/13 and recommended a 28-day extension.

Raymond Johnson, prospective buyer, confirmed the plans had been resubmitted. He stated, "There are items on this list that are...not facts."

Ms. Wald confirmed that Mr. Johnson was not the owner; he was the building tenant.

Mr. Johnson indicated there were unsubstantiated claims on the list of violations. He requested an additional 90 days. Inspector Smilen said he would support a 56-day extension.

Motion made by Mr. Thilborger, seconded by Ms. Ellis, to grant a 56-day extension to 4/23/13, during which time no fines would accrue. In a roll call vote, motion passed 4-3 with Ms. Sheppard, Mr. Dooley, and Chair Nelson opposed.

Case: CE12022385

640 Tennis Club Drive #110
MEHRHOFF, JOHN & DIANNE

This case was continued from the 11/27/12 hearing.

Ms. Wald stated Mr. Mehrhoff had sent an email requesting the Board re-hear the case after he missed the first hearing.

Motion made by Mr. Dooley, seconded by Mr. Elfman to re-hear the case. In a voice vote, motion passed 7-0.

George Oliva, Building Inspector, testified to the following violations:

FBC(2010) 105.1

THIS BUILDING HAS BEEN ALTERED AND CONSTRUCTION WORK PERFORMED WITHOUT OBTAINING THE REQUIRED PERMITS AND INSPECTIONS:

1. ON THE SOUTH SIDE OF THE PROPERTY, TOWARDS THE BACK OF UNITS 109, 110 AND 111, THERE ARE BRICK WALLS, 5' X 9' THAT WERE CONSTRUCTED WITHOUT A PERMIT BY THE OWNER OF UNIT 110. THEY ARE REPLACING THE EXISTING APPROVED WOOD FENCE BY THE CITY AND ERODING THE PAVERS THAT THEY ARE RESTING ON. THERE IS NO FOOTING UNDER THEM AND THEY ARE OPEN TO HURRICANE WINDS UP-LIFT.

FBC(2010) 110.9

THIS WORK HAS BEEN PERFORMED AND COVERED UP WITHOUT OBTAINING THE REQUIRED INSPECTIONS APPROVAL FROM THE BUILDING DEPARTMENT THROUGHOUT THE PERMITTING AND INSPECTION PROCESS.

FBC(2010) 1604.1

THE WALL STRUCTURES THAT WERE BUILT IN THE REAR OF THE PROPERTY BELONGING TO THE CONDOS UNITS DO NOT MEET THE STANDARD FOR GRAVITY LOADING AND HAVE NOT BEEN DEMONSTRATED TO WITHSTAND THE REQUIRED WIND LOADING THROUGH THE PERMITTING PROCESS. ALL THE STRUCTURES THAT WERE DONE ILLEGALLY ARE DEEMED TO BE UNSAFE AS PER FBC 116.1.2 AND THE CONSTRUCTION IS UNDERDESIGNED. THEY WOULD NOT PROVIDE THE REQUIRED RESISTANCE TO THE WINDS UPLIFT.

Inspector Oliva submitted photos of the property and the Notice of Violation detailing the violations and corrective action into evidence. He said he was not sure if the slab had been removed and the paver dividers installed right on the ground, and therefore whether the dividers had supporting footings or rebar. He stated the only inspected permit, dated 5/12/2006, was for pavers only. Inspector Oliva recommended ordering compliance within 91 days or a fine of \$10 per day.

John Mehrhoff, owner, explained that the condo association had paid the contractor to pull a permit for and install the pavers and the dividers. He requested that his and his

wife's name be vacated from the violation because all changes to the condo property could only be approved by the board of directors. He explained that in 2006 the association authorized improvements to three unit owners' patios, which included installation of these dividers. In order to secure the association's approval, the three unit owners had agreed to pay most of the cost and the association agreed to pay part of the costs. Mr. Mehrhoff referred to an arbitration regarding one of the unit owners who did not like the renovations, in which a finding of fact had been rendered stating that individual owners should not be considered respondents; only the condominium association should be. Chair Nelson informed Mr. Mehrhoff that this was not relevant to whether or not a permit had been pulled for the project. Mr. Mehrhoff replied that they did not know if the contractor had pulled a permit for the walls but they assumed that he had.

Inspector Oliva confirmed that the Notice of Commencement referred only to pavers, not walls.

Mr. Mehrhoff explained that the slab had not been removed prior to the paver installation. The contractor had abandoned the project after the pavers were installed and after Mr. Mehrhoff located him, a subcontractor had finished the work of erecting the divider walls. Mr. Mehrhoff informed the Board that the subcontractor had installed 2 pieces of rebar into each divider and filled the block cavities with cement.

Ms. Wald reminded Ms. Sheppard that when the Board heard the case on 11/27/12, they had found the violations existed as cited and the owner had been given 91 days to comply the violations. Ms. Wald explained that per this condo association's documents the unit owners were responsible for the work done, and therefore for the violations.

Motion made by Ms. Ellis, seconded by Mr. Elfman, to vacate the Board's previous order dated 11/27/12. In a voice vote, motion passed 7-0.

Motion made by Ms. Sheppard, seconded by Ms. Ellis to find for the City that the violations existed as alleged and to order the property owner to come into compliance within 28 days, by 3/26/13 or a fine of \$10 per day, per violation would begin to accrue and to record the order. In a voice vote, with Mr. Dooley opposed, motion passed 6-1.

Case: CE11070780

1616 Northwest 11 Street
BALBIN, CESAR

Certified mail sent to the owner was accepted on 2/16/13. This case was first heard on 4/24/12 to comply by 5/22/12. Violations and extensions were as noted in the agenda. The property was not complied and the City was requesting imposition of the fine, which would begin to accrue on 2/27/13 and would continue to accrue until the property complied.

Cristobal Padron, attorney, said the violations were complied but the property could not pass final inspection because of issues unrelated to the violations. He requested additional time.

George Oliva, Building Inspector, confirmed that a new issue had arisen regarding the roof overhang and this must be repaired.

Motion made by Ms. Ellis, seconded by Mr. Thilborger, to grant a 56-day extension to 4/23/13, during which time no fines would accrue. In a voice vote, motion passed 7-0.

Case: CE11070323

1501 Northwest 4 Avenue
EIFE, ERIC

Service was via posting on the property on 2/13/13 and at City Hall on 2/15/13. This case was first heard on 4/24/12 to comply by 6/26/12. Violations and extensions were as noted in the agenda. The property was complied, fines had accrued to \$610 and the City was requesting a \$260 fine be imposed.

George Oliva, Building Inspector, recommended reducing the fine to \$260.

Motion made by Ms. Ellis, seconded by Mr. Elfman to impose no fine. In a voice vote, with Ms. Sheppard opposed, motion passed 6-1.

Case: CE11070747

3612 Riverland Road
CARSON, KENNETH

Certified mail sent to the owner was accepted on 1/30/13. This case was first heard on 7/24/12 to comply by 9/25/12. Violations and extensions were as noted in the agenda. The property was not complied and the City was requesting imposition of a \$170 fine, which would continue to accrue until the property complied.

George Oliva, Building Inspector, clarified that FBC (2007) 105.4.5 and FBC (2007) 105.1 item 3 were complied.

Kenneth Carson, owner, stated he had applied for the lighting permit and was awaiting a survey for the dock and shed permits. He also needed to apply for a variance for the dock and shed. Mr. Carson explained that he needed to find a new survey company because the original company was not responding to his requests. He reminded the Board that he was in a property dispute with a neighbor.

Motion made by Ms. Ellis, seconded by Mr. Elfman, to grant a 28-day extension to 3/26/13, during which time no fines would accrue. In a voice vote, motion passed 7-0.

Case: CE10111974

410 Southeast 14 Court
VACA, MARIA ELENA

Certified mail sent to the owner was accepted on 2/15/13. This case was first heard on 8/23/11 to comply by 10/25/11. Violations and extensions were as noted in the agenda. The property was not complied and the City was requesting imposition of the fine, which would begin to accrue on 2/27/13 and would continue to accrue until the property complied.

Gerry Smilen, Building Inspector, reported construction was ongoing and recommended an extension.

Maria Vaca, owner, confirmed work was ongoing and requested a 90-day extension.

Motion made by Mr. Thilborger, seconded by Mr. Elfman, to grant a 56-day extension to 4/23/13, during which time no fines would accrue. In a voice vote, with Ms. Sheppard and Chair Nelson opposed, motion passed 5-2.

Case: CE12020574

1301 Northeast 14 Court
HEFFNER, TIMOTHY

Service was via posting on the property on 2/14/13 and at City Hall on 2/15/13. This case was first heard on 11/27/12 to comply by 2/26/13. Violations were as noted in the agenda. The property was not complied and the City was requesting imposition of the fine, which would begin on 2/27/13 and would continue to accrue until the property complied.

Richard Gibson, property manager, stated he had hired a construction company who had not filed any applications. Mr. Gibson requested 60 days.

Motion made by Ms. Sheppard, seconded by Mr. Elfman, to grant a 56-day extension to 4/23/13, during which time no fines would accrue. In a voice vote, motion passed 7-0.

Case: CE12071477

1381 Southwest 25 Avenue
ROBALINO, DIEGO
SANCHEZ, TANIA

This case was first heard on 11/27/12 to comply by 2/26/13. Violations were as noted in the agenda. The property was not complied.

George Oliva, Building Inspector, reported the plans had been resubmitted on 2/20 with corrections. He recommended a 56-day extension.

Pablo Sanchez, the owner's nephew, said they were almost finished.

Motion made by Ms. Sheppard, seconded by Mr. Thilborger, to grant a 56-day extension to 4/23/13, during which time no fines would accrue. In a voice vote, motion passed 7-0.

Case: CE11031271

910 Southwest 29 Street
LOUDERBACK, DAVID

Certified mail sent to the owner was accepted on 2/15/13. This case was first heard on 9/27/11 to comply by 1/24/12. Violations and extensions were as noted in the agenda. The property was not complied and the City was requesting imposition of the fine, which would begin to accrue on 2/27/13 and would continue to accrue until the property complied.

David Louderback, owner, stated he was having problems selling the house and he had been laid off from his job. He requested a 91-day extension.

Gerry Smilen, Building Inspector, said there was nothing to report and recommended imposition of the fines. He said Mr. Louderback could pull a demolition permit for the one-room addition.

Motion made by Mr. Thilborger, seconded by Ms. Ellis to find that the violations were not complied by the Order date, and to impose the fine, which would begin to accrue on 2/27/13 and would continue to accrue until the violations were corrected. In a voice vote, with Ms. Sheppard and Chair Nelson opposed, motion passed 5-2.

Case: CE12030951

1309 Southwest 25 Avenue
MORALES, YANICE
MORALES, IRAN

Service was via posting on the property on 2/13/13 and at City Hall on 2/15/13. This case was first heard on 10/23/12 to comply by 11/27/12. Violations and extensions were as noted in the agenda. The property was not complied and the City was requesting imposition of the fine, which would begin to accrue on 2/27/13 and would continue to accrue until the property complied.

George Oliva, Building Inspector, reported the house was still occupied by a tenant and no permit application had been submitted. He recommended imposition of the fines.

Jose Lucero, the owner's friend, said he had removed the overhang and the shed. He had the permit applications but the NOAs for the windows were expired and he did not know how to address the stucco issue. He had tried but been unable to meet with an inspector at the City.

Inspector Oliva had requested a walk-through on the property with the general contractor but the contractor had never scheduled it. He confirmed that the owner could not pull an owner/builder permit because there was a tenant in the house.

Motion made by Mr. Thilborger, seconded by Ms. Ellis to find that the violations were not complied by the Order date, and to impose the fine, which would begin to accrue on 2/27/13 and would continue to accrue until the violations were corrected. In a voice vote, motion passed 7-0.

The following three cases at the same address were heard together:

Case: CE12050501

45 Hendricks Isle # 2A
BOCHINO, JOHN A

Certified mail sent to the attorney was accepted on 2/15/13. This case was first heard on 11/27/12 to comply by 2/26/13. Violations were as noted in the agenda. The property was not complied and the City was requesting imposition of the fine, which would begin to accrue on 2/27/13 and would continue to accrue until the property complied.

Gerry Smilen, Building Inspector, reported there had been no progress. The plans had been out for corrections since 7/6/12. He recommended a 28-day extension to cite all 14 unit owners for the March meeting.

Adelaida Albareda, attorney, said the engineer had performed a site inspection and they were waiting for landscaping to be able to add it to their plans. She requested an extension.

Motion made by Ms. Sheppard, seconded by Ms. Ellis, to grant a 28-day extension to 3/26/13, during which time no fines would accrue. In a voice vote, motion passed 7-0.

Case: CE12050514

45 Hendricks Isle # 2D
BOGAR, DANIEL & BRANDELYN

Certified mail sent to the attorney was accepted on 2/15/13. This case was first heard on 11/27/12 to comply by 2/26/13. Violations were as noted in the agenda. The property was not complied and the City was requesting imposition of the fine, which

would begin to accrue on 2/27/13 and would continue to accrue until the property complied.

Motion made by Mr. Thilborger, seconded by Ms. Ellis, to grant a 28-day extension to 3/26/13, during which time no fines would accrue. In a voice vote, motion passed 7-0.

Case: CE12050556

45 Hendricks Isle # 4A
ELLERT, RICHARD J &
GAYA, MARIA LUISA

Certified mail sent to the owner was accepted on 2/15/13. This case was first heard on 11/27/12 to comply by 2/26/13. Violations were as noted in the agenda. The property was not complied and the City was requesting imposition of the fine, which would begin to accrue on 2/27/13 and would continue to accrue until the property complied.

Motion made by Mr. Thilborger, seconded by Ms. Ellis, to grant a 28-day extension to 3/26/13, during which time no fines would accrue. In a voice vote, motion passed 7-0.

Case: CE11060534

540 Arizona Avenue
RIPROCK HOMES INC

Certified mail sent to the owner was accepted on 2/15/13. This case was first heard on 2/28/12 to comply by 3/27/12. Violations and extensions were as noted in the agenda. The property was not complied and the City was requesting imposition of a \$4,080 fine, which would continue to accrue until the property complied.

Ryan Emmer, owner, reported the property had passed electrical inspection. He described efforts he had made to comply and said he was awaiting an engineer's letter to call for more inspections. Mr. Emmer said the tenant was moving in two days. He requested 28 days.

George Oliva, Building Inspector, confirmed they were awaiting final inspection and a Certificate of Occupancy and recommended a 56-day extension.

Motion made by Mr. Thilborger, seconded by Ms. Sheppard, to grant a 56-day extension to 4/23/13, during which time no fines would accrue. In a voice vote, motion passed 7-0.

Case: CE12041151

3675 Southwest 1 Street
BML PROPERTIES LLC

Service was via posting on the property on 2/7/13 and at City Hall on 2/14/13. This case was first heard on 11/27/12 to comply by 1/22/13. Violations were as noted in the agenda. The property was not complied and the City was requesting imposition of a \$25,500 fine, which would continue to accrue until the property complied.

George Oliva, Building Inspector, reported no violations were complied. He had met with the architect and contractor at the property and he had stressed the safety issue regarding the electric with a tenant in the property. He recommended imposition of the fines.

Luz Mary Batista, manager, stated the first general contractor had been incompetent and she had hired a new one. She had also hired a new architect. Ms. Batista requested additional time to be able to pay the architect and move forward.

Inspector Oliva understood the owner's position, but reminded the Board of the electrical danger posed by the electrical panel that had been installed outside the building. He pointed out that any work done without a permit was deemed unsafe and there were children living in the property.

Motion made by Ms. Sheppard, seconded by Mr. Thilborger, to find that the violations were not complied by the Order date, and to impose the \$25,500 fine, which would continue to accrue until the violations were corrected. In a roll call vote, with Mr. Dooley and Ms. Espinal opposed, motion passed 5-2.

Case: CE12050811

1308 CITRUS ISLE
REEDER, PATRICK

This case was first heard on 9/25/12 to comply by 1/22/13. Violations and extensions were as noted in the agenda. The property was not complied.

Gerry Smilen, Building Inspector, reported the addition permit had been submitted on 2/6/13.

Patrick Reeder, owner, requested an extension to complete the work. Inspector Smilen recommended a 56-day extension.

Motion made by Ms. Sheppard, seconded by Mr. Thilborger, to grant a 56-day extension to 4/23/13, during which time no fines would accrue. In a voice vote, motion passed 7-0.

Case: CE11092227

1136 Alabama Avenue
GOMEZ, JAVIER & ABIGAIL

Service was via posting on the property on 2/13/13 and at City Hall on 2/14/13. This case was first heard on 6/26/12 to comply by 8/28/12. Violations and extensions were as noted in the agenda. The property was not complied and the City was requesting imposition of the fine, which would begin to accrue on 2/27/13 and would continue to accrue until the property complied.

Javier Gomez, owner, stated he had closed the driveway and electrical permits but there were issues with the window and door permits.

George Oliva, Building Inspector, explained that the only things needed were the final inspection for the carport enclosure and the spot survey for the elevation certificate.

Mr. Gomez requested a 56-day extension.

Motion made by Ms. Ellis, seconded by Mr. Thilborger, to grant a 56-day extension to 4/23/13, during which time no fines would accrue. In a voice vote, motion passed 7-0.

Case: CE11060921

820 Southeast 8 Street
GOLDMAN, PETER R &
GOLDMAN, LAURA W

This case was first heard on 5/22/12 to comply by 7/24/12. Violations and extensions were as noted in the agenda. The property was complied, fines had accrued to \$1,360 and the City was requesting \$544 in fines.

Peter Goldman, owner, described the efforts he had made to comply the violations.

Motion made by Ms. Ellis, seconded by Ms. Sheppard, to impose no fine. In a voice vote, motion passed 7-0.

Case: CE07101438

1514 Davie Blvd
AMERICAN ONE INC

Service was via posting on the property on 1/31/13 and at City Hall on 2/14/13. This case was first heard on 8/26/08 to comply by 9/23/08. Violations were as noted in the agenda. The property was not complied and the City was requesting imposition of a \$1,777,600 fine, which would continue to accrue until the property complied.

Gerry Smilen, Building Inspector, stated there was nothing to report and recommended imposition of the fines.

Dustin Lorkins, lender's attorney, stated America One had declared bankruptcy and vacate two proposed foreclosure sales. A sale date had been set for 3/6/13 which would put the property back in the lender's hands. Mr. Lorkins requested an extension.

Ms. Wald stated the City had not been a defendant in the foreclosure and recommended imposition of the fines.

Motion made by Chair Nelson, seconded by Mr. Thilborger to find that the violations were not complied by the Order date, and to impose the \$1,777,600 fine, which would continue to accrue until the violations were corrected. In a voice vote, motion passed 7-0.

Case: CE09040018

3220 Northwest 63 Street
KEARSE, EVE

Service was via posting on the property on 2/14/13 and at City Hall on 2/15/13. This case was first heard on 3/23/10 to comply by 8/24/10. Violations and extensions were as noted in the agenda. The property was not complied and the City was requesting imposition of the fine, which would begin on 2/17/13 and would continue to accrue until the property complied.

Eva Kearse, owner, said her financial situation remained bad and requested an extension.

Jorg Hruschka, Building Inspector, informed the Board that Ms. Kearse had submitted shutter and window applications the previous day. He supported a 56-day extension.

Motion made by Mr. Thilborger, seconded by Ms. Sheppard, to grant a 56-day extension to 4/23/13, during which time no fines would accrue. In a voice vote, motion passed 7-0.

Case: CE12022138

727 Northwest 15 Avenue
SOPHER INVESTMENTS INC

Service was via posting on the property on 2/14/13 and at City Hall on 2/15/13. This case was first heard on 7/24/12 to comply by 10/23/12. Violations and extensions were as noted in the agenda. The property was not complied and the City was requesting imposition of the fine, which would begin to accrue on 2/27/13 and would continue to accrue until the property complied.

Gerry Smilen, Building Inspector, reported work was ongoing and there were permits covering all of the violations.

Herman Elberg, contractor, said a custom window must be ordered and requested a 28-day extension.

Ms. Ellis asked if a prospective buyer would be aware of the issues on the property. Ms. Wald remarked that the owner must disclose this to any prospective buyer.

Motion made by Mr. Thilborger, seconded by Ms. Sheppard, to grant a 56-day extension to 4/23/13, during which time no fines would accrue. In a roll call vote, with Ms. Ellis, Ms. Espinal, Mr. Thilborger, Mr. Dooley and Mr. Elfman opposed, motion failed 2-5.

Motion made by Chair Nelson, seconded by Ms. Sheppard, to grant a 28-day extension to 3/26/13, during which time no fines would accrue. In a roll call vote, with Ms. Espinal, Mr. Thilborger and Ms. Ellis opposed, motion passed 4-3.

Case: CE12032198

1809 Northwest 25 Terrace
COMMUNITY 8 PROPERTIES LLC

Certified mail sent to the owner was accepted on 2/7/13.

George Oliva, Building Inspector, testified to the following violations:
FBC(2007) 105.1

THIS BUILDING HAS BEEN CHANGED IN THE FOLLOWING MANNER WITHOUT OBTAINING THE REQUIRED PERMITS OR INSPECTIONS:

1. THE WINDOWS AND DOORS WERE REPLACED.
2. KITCHEN AND BATHROOMS WERE REMODELED WITH NEW CABINETS AND FIXTURES.

FBC(2007) 105.4.4

THE PLUMBING SYSTEM OF THE FACILITY HAS BEEN CHANGED WITHOUT OBTAINING A PERMIT IN THE FOLLOWING MANNER, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO:

1. THERE'S A NEW WATER HEATER THAT WAS PLACED OUTSIDE.
2. NEW PLUMBING FIXTURES WERE INSTALLED IN THE KITCHEN AND BATHROOMS.

FBC(2007) 105.4.5

THE ELECTRICAL SYSTEM OF THE FACILITY HAS BEEN CHANGED WITHOUT OBTAINING A PERMIT IN THE FOLLOWING MANNER, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO:

1. THE ELECTRICAL LOAD DEMAND WAS INCREASED BY ADDING ADDITIONAL LIGHTS AND WALL OUTLETS INSIDE THE PROPERTY. THEY HAVE NOT BEEN DEMONSTRATED TO WITHSTAND THE REQUIRED AMPERAGE LOADING THROUGH THE PERMITTING PROCESS.
2. ELECTRICAL 220 VOLT RUNS TO THE NEW WATER HEATER LOCATION.

FBC(2007) 109.10

THIS WORK HAS BEEN PERFORMED AND COVERED-UP WITHOUT OBTAINING THE REQUIRED INSPECTIONS APPROVAL FROM THE BUILDING DEPARTMENT THROUGHOUT THE PERMITTING AND INSPECTION PROCESS.

FBC(2007) 1612.1.2

ALL THE NEW WINDOWS AND DOOR INSTALLATIONS HAVE NOT BEEN DEMONSTRATED TO WITHSTAND THE REQUIRED WIND LOADING THROUGH THE PERMITTING AND INSPECTION PROCESS.

FBC(2007) 1626.1

THE WINDOWS AND DOORS WITH GLASS PANELS THAT WERE INSTALLED DO NOT PROVIDE THE REQUIRED RESISTANCE TO THE IMPACT OF WINDBORNE DEBRIS. AN APPROVED SHUTTER SYSTEM HAS NOT BEEN PROVIDED AS PER FBC(2007) 1609.1.2.

Inspector Oliva submitted photos of the property and the Notice of Violation detailing the violations and corrective action into evidence, and recommended ordering compliance within 56 days or a fine of \$10 per day, per violation.

Claire Clark, the owner's representative, stated she had begun getting the permits and requested additional time. She informed the Board that the current owner, Goran Dragoslavic, had purchased the property in May 2012.

Motion made by Mr. Thilborger, seconded by Ms. Ellis to find for the City that the violations existed as alleged and to order the property owner to come into compliance within 56 days, by 4/23/13 or a fine of \$10 per day, per violation would begin to accrue and to record the order. In a voice vote, motion passed 7-0.

Case: CE12050056

830 Arizona Avenue

GOURDET, FREDERICK & HILDERGARD

Service was via posting on the property on 2/14/13 and at City Hall on 2/14/13.

Gerry Smilen, Building Inspector, testified to the following violation:
FBC(2010) 105.1

THE SINGLE FAMILY DWELLING HAS BEEN ALTERED IN THE
FOLLOWING MANNER WITHOUT PERMITS:

1. THE CARPORT HAS BEEN ENCLOSED.
2. AN OVERHEAD GARAGE DOOR HAS BEEN INSTALLED.

Inspector Smilen stated the case was opened pursuant to a complaint. He submitted photos of the property and the Notice of Violation detailing the violations and corrective action into evidence, and informed the Board that plans had been out for corrections since 11/5/12. Inspector Smilen recommended ordering compliance within 56 days or a fine of \$10 per day.

Hildegard Gourdet, owner, said they had not been aware of the violations when they purchased the home in 2008. She said her architect had requested a 4-month extension to revise the plans.

Inspector Smilen pointed out that pulling the permits would comply the violation.

Motion made by Mr. Thilborger, seconded by Ms. Sheppard to find for the City that the violations existed as alleged and to order the property owner to come into compliance within 56 days, by 4/23/13 or a fine of \$10 per day would begin to accrue and to record the order. In a voice vote, motion passed 7-0.

Case: CE12061016

1001 Northwest 1 Street
BROCK, AUSTIN C REV LIV TR
BROCK, AUSTIN CAMP TRUSTEE

Certified mail sent to the owner was accepted on 2/7/13.

George Oliva, Building Inspector, testified to the following violation:
FBC(2010) 105.1

THIS BUILDING HAS BEEN ALTERED AND CONSTRUCTION
WORK PERFORMED WITHOUT OBTAINING THE REQUIRED
PERMITS AND INSPECTIONS:

1. PER BCPA PICTURES, THIS BUILDING HAS BEEN
RE-ROOFED.

Inspector Oliva stated the case was begun pursuant to a complaint. He submitted photos of the property and the Notice of Violation detailing the violations and corrective action into evidence, and informed the Board that the contractor had neglected to pull a permit and had disappeared. He recommended ordering compliance within 56 days or a fine of \$10 per day.

Craig Kamine, business partner, stated Imperial Builders had been hired to pull the permit and install the roof but had never pulled the permit and refused to respond to his attempts to contact them. There was now a criminal case against the contractor. Mr. Kamine requested time to find another contractor to pull the permit.

Motion made by Mr. Thilborger, seconded by Ms. Ellis to find for the City that the violations existed as alleged and to order the property owner to come into compliance within 56 days, by 4/23/13 or a fine of \$10 per day would begin to accrue and to record the order. In a voice vote, motion passed 7-0.

Case: CE12061375

201 Southwest 7 Avenue
SIMEONE, RICHARD
SIMEONE, SHAWN

Certified mail sent to the owner was accepted on 2/14/13.

Gerry Smilen, Building Inspector, testified to the following violation:
FBC(2010) 105.1

- THE COMMERCIAL BUILDING HAS BEEN ALTERED IN THE
FOLLOWING MANNER WITHOUT PERMITS:
1. THE CONCRETE OVERHANG HAS BEEN CUT BACK WITH
EXPOSED STEEL.
 2. A WALL A/C UNIT HAS BEEN INSTALLED IN CONCRETE
BLOCK WALL.

Inspector Smilen reported the case was begun pursuant to a complaint and a Stop Work Order had been posted on the property in June 2012. He submitted photos of the property and the Notice of Violation detailing the violations and corrective action into evidence, and recommended ordering compliance within 56 days or a fine of \$20 per day.

Shelby Smith III, property manager, said they had met with the Historic Preservation Board the previous week to discuss the violation. He could not say who had removed the overhang when the street was widened, but it was a previous owner. Mr. Smith reported the Historic Preservation Board was conducting research to advise the owner how to address the violation.

Ms. Wald clarified that Mr. Shelby had not met with the City's Historic Preservation Board. Ms. Ellis believed he had probably met with the Sailboat Bend Civic Association Historic Subcommittee. Inspector Smilen said the property had not been presented to the Historic Preservation Board yet, but it must go through that process before any work was done. Ms. Wald suggested Mr. Shelby's contractor begin the process to present the property to the Historic Preservation Board.

Inspector Smilen said he had informed the contractor that the wall air conditioner hole could be filled in after an engineer's detail was provided or a permit could be pulled to show how it was installed.

Motion made by Ms. Ellis, seconded by Mr. Elfman to find for the City that the violations existed as alleged and to order the property owner to come into compliance within 91 days, by 5/28/13 or a fine of \$10 per day would begin to accrue and to record the order. In a voice vote, motion passed 7-0.

Case: CE12052382
2808 Southwest 5 Court
MARTINEZ, MIREYA

Service was via posting on the property on 2/7/13 and at City Hall on 2/14/13.

George Oliva, Building Inspector, testified to the following violation:
FBC(2010) 105.1

THIS BUILDING HAS BEEN ALTERED AND CONSTRUCTION WORK PERFORMED WITHOUT OBTAINING THE REQUIRED PERMITS, INSPECTIONS AND THE REQUIRED CERTIFICATE OF OCCUPANCY FROM THE CITY BUILDING DEPT.

1. ILLEGAL CONVERSION FROM A 2/1 TO A 4/2.
2. CONVERTED GARAGE & BACK PORCH INTO LIVING SPACES.
3. THIS PROPERTY WAS RE-ROOFED BETWEEN 2008 AND 2009.

FBC(2010) 105.4.5

THE ELECTRICAL SYSTEM OF THE FACILITY HAS BEEN CHANGED WITHOUT OBTAINING A PERMIT IN THE FOLLOWING MANNER, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO:
1. ALTERATIONS WERE MADE TO THE ELECTRICAL SYSTEM TO CONVERT THE PORCH AND FAMILY ROOM INTO LIVING SPACES WITH NEW CIRCUITS FOR THE LIGHTS AND WALL OUTLETS. BY THIS ACTION THE OWNER IS INCREASING THE AMPERAGE LOAD IN THE MAIN ELECTRICAL PANEL OVER THE TOTAL AMPS LOAD RATING THAT WAS PERMITTED. THIS HAS BECOME AN ELECTRICAL FIRE HAZARD.

FBC(2010) 110.9

THIS WORK HAS BEEN PERFORMED AND COVERED-UP WITHOUT OBTAINING THE REQUIRED INSPECTIONS APPROVAL FROM THE BUILDING DEPARTMENT THROUGHOUT THE PERMITTING AND INSPECTION PROCESS.

FBC(2010) 1604.1

THE STRUCTURES FOR THE ROOF AND WALLS BELONGING TO THE REAR ENCLOSED SCREEN PORCH DO NOT MEET THE STANDARD FOR GRAVITY LOADING AND HAVE NOT BEEN DEMONSTRATED TO WITHSTAND THE REQUIRED WIND LOADING THROUGH THE PERMITTING PROCESS. ALL THE STRUCTURES THAT WERE DONE ILLEGALLY ARE DEEMED TO BE UNSAFE AS PER FBC 116.1.2 AND THE CONSTRUCTION IS UNDERDESIGNED. IT WOULD NOT PROVIDE THE REQUIRED RESISTANCE TO THE WINDS UPLIFT.

Inspector Oliva reported the case was begun pursuant to a complaint. He submitted photos of the property and the Notice of Violation detailing the violations and corrective action into evidence, and recommended ordering compliance within 56 days or a fine of \$10 per day, per violation.

Antonio Recinos, bank representative, requested time to either apply for permits or return the property to its previous condition. He state the property was in foreclosure.

Inspector Oliva informed Mr. Dooley that the property had been converted from a 2-bedroom/1-bath to a 4-bedroom/3-bath.

Motion made by Mr. Thilborger, seconded by Mr. Dooley to find for the City that the violations existed as alleged and to order the property owner to come into compliance within 56 days, by 4/23/13 or a fine of \$10 per day, per violation would begin to accrue and to record the order. In a voice vote, motion passed 7-0.

The Board took a brief break.

Case: CE12011854

1345 Northwest 7 Avenue
CLEDANORD, WIMY

Service was via posting on the property on 2/7/13 and at City Hall on 2/14/13.

George Oliva, Building Inspector, testified to the following violations:
FBC(2007) 105.1

THIS BUILDING HAS BEEN CHANGED WITHOUT OBTAINING THE REQUIRED PERMITS, INSPECTIONS AND CERTIFICATE OF OCCUPANCY FROM THE CITY BUILDING DEPARTMENT:
1. THE WINDOWS AND FRONT DOOR WERE REPLACED WITHOUT THE REQUIRED PERMIT.

FBC(2007) 1612.1.2

ALL THE NEW WINDOWS AND DOOR INSTALLATIONS HAVE NOT BEEN DEMONSTRATED TO WITHSTAND THE REQUIRED WIND LOADING THROUGH THE PERMITTING AND INSPECTION PROCESS.

FBC(2007) 1626.1

THE WINDOWS AND DOORS WITH GLASS PANELS THAT WERE INSTALLED DO NOT PROVIDE THE REQUIRED RESISTANCE TO THE IMPACT OF WINDBORNE DEBRIS. AN APPROVED SHUTTER SYSTEM HAS NOT BEEN PROVIDED AS PER FBC(2007) 1609.1.2.

Inspector Oliva stated the case was begun pursuant to a complaint. He submitted photos of the property and the Notice of Violation detailing the violations and corrective action into evidence, and recommended ordering compliance within 56 days or a fine of \$10 per day, per violation.

Wimy Cledanord, owner, said he would comply within 56 days.

Motion made by Mr. Dooley, seconded by Mr. Thilborger to find for the City that the violations existed as alleged and to order the property owner to come into compliance within 56 days, by 4/23/13 or a fine of \$10 per day, per violation would begin to accrue and to record the order. In a voice vote, motion passed 7-0.

Ms. Ellis left the dais at 12:30.

Case: CE12050122

3430 Southwest 27 Street
VUCINIC, DRAGICA

Service was via posting on the property on 2/7/13 and at City Hall on 2/14/13.

George Oliva, Building Inspector, testified to the following violations:

FBC(2010) 105.1

THIS BUILDING HAS BEEN ALTERED AND CONSTRUCTION WORK PERFORMED AND IN PROGRESS WITHOUT OBTAINING THE REQUIRED PERMITS AND INSPECTIONS FROM THE BUILDING DEPARTMENT:

ALL WORK MUST STOP. OBTAIN AFTER THE FACT PERMITS:

1. FRONT PORCH ENCLOSED.
2. INSTALLING WINDOWS.
3. CREATING NEW ROOMS INSIDE THE DWELLING.
4. REMODELING IS IN PROGRESS.

FBC(2010) 110.9

WORK IS IN PROGRESS OR IT HAS BEEN PERFORMED AND/OR COVERED-UP WITHOUT OBTAINING THE REQUIRED INSPECTIONS APPROVAL FROM THE BUILDING DEPARTMENT THROUGHOUT THE PERMITTING AND INSPECTION PROCESS.

FBC(2010) 1604.1

THE STRUCTURES FOR THE WALLS BELONGING TO THE FRONT PORCH ENCLOSURE DO NOT MEET THE STANDARD FOR GRAVITY LOADING AND HAVE NOT BEEN DEMONSTRATED TO WITHSTAND THE REQUIRED WIND LOADING THROUGH THE PERMITTING PROCESS. ALL STRUCTURES THAT WERE DONE ILLEGALLY ARE DEEMED TO BE UNSAFE AS PER FBC 116.1.2 AND THE CONSTRUCTION IS UNDERDESIGNED. IT WOULD NOT PROVIDE THE REQUIRED RESISTANCE TO THE WINDS UPLIFT.

Inspector Oliva stated the case was begun pursuant to a complaint. He submitted photos of the property and the Notice of Violation detailing the violations and corrective action into evidence, and recommended ordering compliance within 56 days or a fine of \$10 per day, per violation.

Motion made by Ms. Sheppard, seconded by Mr. Thilborger to find for the City that the violations existed as alleged and to order the property owner to come into compliance within 28 days, by 3/26/13 or a fine of \$10 per day, per violation would begin to accrue and to record the order. In a voice vote, with Ms. Ellis absent from the dais, motion passed 6-0.

Case: CE12081222

2744 Davie Blvd

LA SEGUNDA REALTY CORP

Certified mail sent to the owner was accepted on 2/8/13.

George Oliva, Building Inspector, testified to the following violations:

FBC(2010) 105.4.11

THE MECHANICAL SYSTEM OF THE FACILITY HAS BEEN CHANGED WITHOUT OBTAINING A PERMIT IN THE FOLLOWING MANNER, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO:

1. THE OWNERS OF THE PLAZA HAVE INSTALLED SEVERAL CENTRAL A/C'S AS FOLLOWS:

A. CARRIER M# 50ES-A60-50 - 5 TONS PACKAGE UNIT ON A METAL STAND HANGING FROM THE WALL FACING THE WESTSIDE.

B. NORDYNE M# NS4BD-060KB - 5 TONS SPLIT UNIT ON A

METAL STAND HANGING FROM THE WALL FACING THE WEST SIDE. IT REPLACED THE EXISTING PACKAGE UNIT AND THE OPENING IN THE WALL AT THE REAR OF THE STORE #2744 WAS ENCLOSED WITHOUT THE PROPER PERMIT.

C. BARD WALL MOUNT PACKAGE UNIT WAS INSTALLED ON THE WALL FACING THE WEST SIDE.

D. TWO GOODMAN 5 TONS C.U.'S WERE INSTALLED ON TOP OF A METAL STAND AT THE REAR OF THE PLAZA FACING THE SOUTHWEST.

FBC(2010) 110.9

THIS WORK IS IN PROGRESS OR IT HAS BEEN PERFORMED AND/OR COVERED-UP WITHOUT OBTAINING THE REQUIRED INSPECTIONS APPROVAL FROM THE BUILDING DEPARTMENT THROUGHOUT THE PERMITTING AND INSPECTION PROCESS.

Inspector Oliva stated the case was begun pursuant to a complaint. He submitted photos of the property and the Notice of Violation detailing the violations and corrective action into evidence, and recommended ordering compliance within 28 days or a fine of \$150 per day, per violation. Inspector Oliva explained that there were several stores involved and many violations.

Motion made by Ms. Sheppard, seconded by Mr. Thilborger to find for the City that the violations existed as alleged and to order the property owner to come into compliance within 28 days, by 3/26/13 or a fine of \$150 per day, per violation would begin to accrue and to record the order. In a voice vote, with Ms. Ellis absent from the dais and Mr. Dooley opposed, motion passed 5-1.

Case: CE07090534

1363 Southwest 22 Avenue
ORTEGA, TINY

Ms. Saey explained that the original Order Imposing Fines dated 2/24/09 had never been signed. The Board could decide to re-hear the case or Chair Nelson could sign the Order.

Ms. Wald said the original Order could not be found. She described the Board's options.

Chair Nelson agreed to sign the Order.

Ms. Ellis returned to the dais.

Case: CE12090680

1525 Northwest 14 Court
DEVELOPMENT4LIFE HT LLC

Certified mail sent to the owner was accepted on 2/7/13.

George Oliva, Building Inspector, testified to the following violations:
FBC(2010) 105.1

THIS BUILDING HAS BEEN ALTERED AND CONSTRUCTION WORK PERFORMED WITHOUT OBTAINING THE REQUIRED PERMITS AND INSPECTIONS:

1. THE KITCHEN WAS REMODELED AND THE PERMIT WAS LEFT TO EXPIRE. TODAY IT REMAINS WORK WITHOUT A PERMIT.
2. A CENTRAL A/C WAS INSTALLED AT THE DWELLING.

FBC(2010) 105.4.11

THE MECHANICAL SYSTEM OF THE FACILITY HAS BEEN CHANGED WITHOUT OBTAINING A PERMIT IN THE FOLLOWING MANNER, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO:

1. THE OWNER INSTALLED A CENTRAL A/C WITH DUCT WORK AND ELECTRICAL HEATERS.

FBC(2010) 110.9

THIS WORK IS IN PROGRESS OR IT HAS BEEN PERFORMED AND/OR COVERED-UP WITHOUT OBTAINING THE REQUIRED INSPECTIONS APPROVAL FROM THE BUILDING DEPARTMENT THROUGHOUT THE PERMITTING AND INSPECTION PROCESS.

Inspector Oliva said he had reopened an old case pursuant to a complaint. He submitted photos of the property and the Notice of Violation detailing the violations and corrective action into evidence, and recommended ordering compliance within 56 days or a fine of \$10 per day, per violation.

Motion made by Mr. Thilborger, seconded by Ms. Ellis to find for the City that the violations existed as alleged and to order the property owner to come into compliance within 56 days, by 4/23/13 or a fine of \$10 per day, per violation would begin to accrue and to record the order. In a voice vote, motion passed 7-0.

Case: CE06030553

3901 Southwest 16 Street
3901 DAVIE ASSOCIATES LLC

Service was via posting on the property on 2/13/13 and at City Hall on 2/14/13. This case was first heard on 1/22/08 to comply by 6/24/08. Violations and extensions were

as noted in the agenda. The property was not complied and the City was requesting imposition of a \$2,700 fine, which would continue to accrue until the property complied.

George Oliva, Building Inspector, reported the property still needed a re-striping permit but the survey provided by the contractor had the incorrect address. He recommended a 91-day extension to re-submit the permit.

Motion made by Mr. Thilborger, seconded by Ms. Ellis, to grant a 91-day extension to 5/28/13, during which time no fines would accrue. In a voice vote, motion passed 7-0.

Case: CE06101581

1708 Northwest 9 Avenue
ST JEAN, SIMON &
ST JEAN, MACCIANE D

Certified mail sent to the owner was accepted on 1/31/13.

This case was first heard on 10/28/08 to comply by 1/27/09. Violations were as noted in the agenda. The property was not complied and the City was requesting imposition of a \$1,490,000 fine, which would continue to accrue until the property complied.

George Oliva, Building Inspector, reported the bank had taken back the property in foreclosure. Inspector Oliva said the case had been revived because three families had been squatting in the property. Ms. Saey stated the City had not been a defendant in the foreclosure.

Motion made by Mr. Thilborger, seconded by Ms. Ellis to find that the violations were not complied by the Order date, and to impose the \$1,490,000 fine, which would continue to accrue until the violations were corrected. In a voice vote, motion passed 7-0.

Case: CE11051035

2640 Northwest 21 Street
ILAN PROFESSIONAL DESIGN INC

Certified mail sent to the owner was accepted on 2/15/13. This case was first heard on 3/27/12 to comply by 5/22/12. Violations and extensions were as noted in the agenda. The property was not complied and the City was requesting imposition of the fine, which would begin to accrue on 2/27/13 and would continue to accrue until the property complied.

George Oliva, Building Inspector, reported the owner had paid for the permits on 2/20/13 so only final inspection and the Certificate of Occupancy were needed. He explained that the owner had applied for permits soon after purchasing the property, but

his business had been attacked by an arsonist soon after. Inspector Oliva recommended a 91-day extension.

Motion made by Mr. Thilborger, seconded by Ms. Ellis, to grant a 91-day extension to 5/28/13, during which time no fines would accrue. In a voice vote, motion passed 7-0.

Case: CE11111326

1600 Northwest 2 Avenue
RH INVESTMENT PROPERTIES LLC

Service was via posting on the property on 2/13/13 and at City Hall on 2/15/13. This case was first heard on 5/22/12 to comply by 6/26/12. Violations and extensions were as noted in the agenda. The property was not complied and the City was requesting imposition of a \$4,600 fine, which would continue to accrue until the property complied.

George Oliva, Building Inspector, said the property needed final inspections. He recommended a 56-day extension.

Motion made by Ms. Ellis, seconded by Mr. Thilborger, to grant a 56-day extension to 4/23/13, during which time no fines would accrue. In a voice vote, motion passed 7-0.

Case: CE11020528

720 Southwest 19 Street
BANK OF NEW YORK TRUSTEE
NEW OWNER: AMBIENT CAPITAL LLC
SUN KEY PROPERTIES LLC

Service was via posting on the property on 2/14/13 and at City Hall on 2/15/13. This case was first heard on 4/26/11 to comply by 8/23/11. Violations and extensions were as noted in the agenda. The property was not complied and the City was requesting imposition of the fine, which would begin to accrue on 2/27/13 and would continue to accrue until the property complied.

Gerry Smilen, Building Inspector, presented recent photos and said the last violation was now complied.

Case: CE12060132

1301 Southwest 30 Street
NAOR, ERIC
SHAULI, YOSSIE & SCHMIDT, T

Certified mail sent to the owner was accepted on 2/1/13. This case was first heard on 9/25/12 to comply by 11/27/12. Violations and extensions were as noted in the agenda.

The property was not complied and the City was requesting imposition of a \$2,720 fine, which would continue to accrue until the property complied.

Gerry Smilen, Building Inspector, reported there had been no progress and recommended imposition of the fines.

Motion made by Mr. Thilborger, seconded by Ms. Ellis to find that the violations were not complied by the Order date, and to impose the \$2,720 fine, which would continue to accrue until the violations were corrected. In a voice vote, motion passed 7-0.

Case: CE12060180

525 Southwest 11 Court
TWEEDY, SANDRA

Service was via posting on the property on 2/14/13 and at City Hall on 2/15/13. This case was first heard on 11/27/12 to comply by 1/22/13. Violations and extensions were as noted in the agenda. The property was not complied and the City was requesting imposition of the fine, which would begin to accrue on 2/27/13 and would continue to accrue until the property complied.

Gerry Smilen, Building Inspector, reported the case was complied.

Case: CE12060378

704 Southeast 7 Street
LITTLE BOSS HOLDINGS

Service was via posting on the property on 2/14/13 and at City Hall on 2/15/13. This case was first heard on 11/27/12 to comply by 1/22/13. Violations and extensions were as noted in the agenda. The property was not complied and the City was requesting imposition of the fine, which would begin to accrue on 2/27/13 and would continue to accrue until the property complied.

Gerry Smilen, Building Inspector, read an email from the contractor indicating work that had been completed and that was in progress and/or planned. He requested additional time on behalf of the owner. Inspector Smilen did not support an extension.

Motion made by Mr. Thilborger, seconded by Ms. Ellis to find that the violations were not complied by the Order date, and to impose the fine, which would begin to accrue on 2/27/13 and would continue to accrue until the violations were corrected. In a voice vote, with Chair Nelson opposed, motion passed 6-1.

Case: CE11120474

1525 Southeast 15 Street # 24
KELLEY, SHERIE LYNN H/E
KELLEY, JAMES Q & ORANGIE

Certified mail sent to the owner was accepted on 2/15/13. This case was first heard on 1/22/13 to comply by 2/26/13. Violations were as noted in the agenda. The property was not complied and the City was requesting imposition of the fine, which would begin to accrue on 2/27/13 and would continue to accrue until the property complied.

Gerry Smilen, Building Inspector, reported the permit application had been submitted the previous week.

Motion made by Mr. Thilborger, seconded by Ms. Ellis, to grant a 28-day extension to 3/26/13, during which time no fines would accrue. In a voice vote, motion passed 7-0.

Case: CE07101431

1500 Davie Blvd
AMERICAN ONE INC

Service was via posting on the property on 1/31/13 and at City Hall on 2/14/13. This case was first heard on 8/26/08 to comply by 9/23/08. Violations were as noted in the agenda. The property was not complied and the City was requesting imposition of a \$1,616,000 fine, which would continue to accrue until the property complied.

Gerry Smilen, Building Inspector, reported there were renters in the property and there had been no progress with the violations.

Motion made by Mr. Thilborger, seconded by Ms. Ellis to find that the violations were not complied by the Order date, and to impose the \$1,616,000 fine, which would continue to accrue until the violations were corrected. In a voice vote, motion passed 7-0.

Case: CE11051239

6241 Northeast 20 Terrace
FERRETTI, GARY

Service was via posting on the property on 2/14/13 and at City Hall on 2/15/13. This case was first heard on 1/22/13 to comply by 2/26/13. Violations were as noted in the agenda. The property was not complied and the City was requesting imposition of the fine, which would begin on 2/27/13 and would continue to accrue until the property complied.

Jorg Hruschka, Building Inspector, said he had spoken with the owner and agreed to recommend a 56-day extension.

Motion made by Mr. Thilborger, seconded by Mr. Elfman, to grant a 56-day extension to 4/23/13, during which time no fines would accrue. In a voice vote, motion passed 7-0.

Case: CE12031419

2880 Northeast 33 Court # 206
CANNON, HARRY D

Service was via posting on the property on 2/14/13 and at City Hall on 2/15/13. This case was first heard on 1/22/13 to comply by 2/26/13. Violations were as noted in the agenda. The property was not complied and the City was requesting imposition of the fine, which would begin on 2/27/13 and would continue to accrue until the property complied.

Jorg Hruschka, Building Inspector, recommended a 28-day extension.

Motion made by Mr. Thilborger, seconded by Ms. Ellis, to grant a 28-day extension to 3/26/13, during which time no fines would accrue. In a voice vote, motion passed 7-0.

Case: CE12081656

1881 Middle River Drive # 406
RIAL, MARIA GRACIELA

Service was via posting on the property on 2/14/13 and at City Hall on 2/15/13. This case was first heard on 1/22/13 to comply by 2/26/13. Violations were as noted in the agenda. The property was not complied and the City was requesting imposition of the fine, which would begin on 2/27/13 and would continue to accrue until the property complied.

Jorg Hruschka, Building Inspector, reported the permit application had been submitted and recommended a 28-day extension.

Motion made by Mr. Thilborger, seconded by Mr. Elfman, to grant a 28-day extension to 3/26/13, during which time no fines would accrue. In a voice vote, motion passed 7-0.

Approval of Meeting Minutes

Motion made by Ms. Ellis, seconded by Mr. Thilborger, to approve the minutes of the Board's January 2013 Meeting. In a voice vote, motion passed 7-0.

Communication to the City Commission

None.

For the Good of the City

No discussion.

Cases Complied

The below listed cases were complied. Additional information regarding respondents, violations, etc. can be found in the agenda, which is incorporated into this record by

CE12011080	CE11110760	CE12051651	CE12051652
CE12071264	CE12071884	CE12021290	CE12031549
CE11091217	CE09121633		

Cases Withdrawn

The below listed cases were withdrawn. Additional information regarding respondents, violations, etc. can be found in the agenda, which is incorporated into this record by

CE07101433	CE12020927	CE08081269
------------	------------	------------

There being no further business to come before the Board, the meeting adjourned at 1:08 P.M.



Chair, Code Enforcement Board

ATTEST:



Clerk, Code Enforcement Board

NOTE: The agenda associated with this meeting is incorporated into this record by reference.

Minutes prepared by: Jamie Opperee, ProtoType Inc.